MEMBERS HOME TO SCHOOL TRANSPORT APPEALS PANEL

Wednesday, 12 August 2020

PRESENT: Councillor H.A.L. Evans (Chair)

Councillors:

G. Davies and S.J.G. Gilasbey

The following Officers were in attendance:

A. Evans, Operations Manager - Passenger Transport

L. McFarlane, Learner Travel Policy & Development Officer

T. Pilarz, Assistant Solicitor

K. Thomas, Democratic Services Officer

Virtual Meeting - . - 10.00 - 10.20 am

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

There were no apologies for absence

2. DECLARATIONS OF PERSONAL INTEREST

There were no declarations of personal interest.

3. MINUTES

3.1. 12TH MARCH, 2020 (10:00 A.M)

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on the 12th March 2020 (10:00 a.m.) be signed as a correct record.

3.2. 12TH MARCH, 2020 (10:15 A.M)

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on the 12th March 2020 (10:15 a.m.) be signed as a correct record.

3.3. 12TH MARCH, 2020 (10:30 A.M)

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on the 12th March 2020 (10:30 a.m.) be signed as a correct record.

3.4. 12TH MARCH, 2020 (10:45 A.M)

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on the 12th March 2020 (10:45 a.m.) be signed as a correct record.

4. EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED pursuant to the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) (Wales) Order 2007 that the following item was not for publication as the



report contained exempt information as defined in Paragraph 12 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A to the Act.

5. TO CONSIDER AN APPEAL BY APPLICANT L.H. AGAINST A DECISION BY OFFICERS FOR THE NON-PROVISION OF FREE SCHOOL TRANSPORT.

Following the application of the public interest test it was **UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Act referred to in minute 4 above, not to publicise the content of the report as it contained exempt information relating to a particular individual. The public interest test in this matter related to the fact that the report included personal information relating to the applicant. Disclosure would support transparency and accountability in the decision making process, however, on balance the public interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighed the public interest in disclosure because of the need to protect the identity of the applicant and the family.

The Chair welcomed officers from Legal Services and the Environment Department to the meeting. The Panel considered the written representations of the appellant in respect of the refusal of the Stage 1 Review Panel to grant L.H. free school transport to a school which was not the nearest or LEA designated school for the home address and therefore, did not meet the criteria outlined in the Council's policy for the provision of assistance.

The Panel considered the observations of the departmental officers, together with the individual circumstances of the case.

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that in line with the Authority's Home to School Transport Policy, the appeal be refused on the basis that L.H. was not attending the nearest or Local Education Authority designated school for the home address, due to parental preference.

6. TO CONSIDER AN APPEAL BY APPLICANT S.M.R. AGAINST A DECISION OF THE AUTHORITY FOR THE NON-PROVISION OF FREE SCHOOL TRANSPORT

Following the application of the public interest test it was **UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED** pursuant to the Act referred to in minute 4 above, not to publicise the content of the report as it contained exempt information relating to a particular individual. The public interest test in this matter related to the fact that the report included personal information relating to the applicant. Disclosure would support transparency and accountability in the decision making process, however, on balance the public interest in maintaining confidentiality outweighed the public interest in disclosure because of the need to protect the identity of the applicant and the family.

The Chair welcomed officers from Legal Services and the Environment Department to the meeting. The Panel considered the written representations of the appellant in respect of the refusal of the Stage 1 Review Panel to grant S.M.R. free school transport to a school which was not the nearest or LEA designated school for the home address and therefore, did not meet the criteria outlined in the Council's policy for the provision of assistance.



The Panel considered the observations of the departmental officers,	together	with
the individual circumstances of the case.		

UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED that the aphome to school transport be upheld;	opeal submitted by S.M.R. for free
CHAIR	DATE